

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,198	11/07/2006	Kumar Visvanathan	007193	7410	
The McCallun	7590 01/07/200 n Law Firm	9	EXAM	INER	
132 Kolar Ct			BOESEN, AGNIESZKA		
Erle, CO 8051	6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/550,198	VISVANATHAN ET AL.	VISVANATHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
AGNIESZKA BOESEN	1648		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 September 2005.	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	

Dis	position	of	Clai	m
-----	----------	----	------	---

Disposition of Claims
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-136</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) 65-136 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

F

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CS)

 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (P 	documents have been received in this National Stage PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	he certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's preliminary amendment filed September 21, 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 65-136 are pending and subject to the following restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 65-80, drawn to a method for detecting the presence of infection by a pathogenic agent and a method of detecting a disease condition comprising determining the level of a cell surface marker selected from the group consisting of Toll-like receptors.

Group II, claim(s) 81-92, drawn to a method for monitoring a response to a therapeutic protocol to prevent infection by a pathogenic agent and to prevent the development of a disease condition comprising determining the level of a cell surface marker selected from the group consisting of Toll-like receptors.

Group III, claim(s) 93-104, drawn to a method for determining whether a subject will respond to therapeutic and a prophylactic intervention of infection by a pathogenic agent and to prevent a

Art Unit: 1648

development of a disease condition, comprising determining the level of a cell surface marker selected from the group consisting of Toll-like receptors.

Group IV, claim(s) 105-116, drawn to a method for predicting the outcome of a therapeutic protocol to prevent infection by a pathogenic agent the method comprising determining the level of a cell surface marker selected from the group consisting of Toll-like receptors.

Group V, claim(s) 117-134, drawn to a method of treating a subject infected with a pathogenic organism and a method of treating a subject having a disease or condition comprising administering to a subject an effective amount of an agent which affects the level of a cell surface marker selected from the group consisting of Toll-like receptors.

Group VI, claim(s) 135 and 136 drawn to a composition comprising a compound selected from the group consisting of Toll-like receptors, Toll-like receptor agonists or antagonists.

It is noted that claim 136 depends from claim 135, drawn to a composition; however claim 136 recites "the method of claim 135". The Office presumes that Applicant intended to recite "the composition of claim 135". In case when Applicant intended to make claim 136 dependent from one of the method claims, Applicant is required to amend the claim dependency and claim 136 will not be examined together with claim 135 but will be examined together with the method claims.

Art Unit: 1648

The inventions listed in groups I, II, III, IV, V and VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the shared technical feature of the claimed invention is a composition comprising a compound selected from the group consisting of Toll-like receptors, the method of treating a subject infected with a pathogenic organism, the method for detecting the presence of infection by a pathogenic agent and method for predicting the outcome of a therapeutic protocol to prevent infection by a pathogenic agent.

Schwartz et al (US Patent 6,740,487 B1) teaches compositions comprising Toll-like receptor agonists and antagonists, the methods of administering Toll-like receptor agonists and the methods for detecting the presence of infection by determining the level of Toll-like receptors (see columns 5-11, column 14 and Example 1).

Since Applicant's invention does not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art they do not have a single inventive concept and thus the claims lack unity of invention. Therefore, the instant invention lacks Unity of Invention and restriction is set forth as it applies to U.S. practice.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

Art Unit: 1648

the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai. In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AGNIESZKA BOESEN whose telephone number is (571)272-8035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Agnieszka Boesen/ Examiner, Art Unit 1648

Page 7

Art Unit: 1648